

Registration form



Notification to the Ombudsman for Discrimination on the grounds that an actor uses a working method or applies a practice that leads to discrimination.

Swedish innovation has backed in recent decades: We have lost a head start compared to the EU in terms of innovative growth, from 148% to 135% between 2006 and 2013 We have lost 10 list placements in international competitiveness according to the World Economic Forum. Even our own minister of innovation calls for greater output from the approximately 3.5% of GDP that Sweden invests in innovation. An explanation for this resignation is an implemented innovation policy that discriminates against the main suppliers of innovation: inventors.

The result is an ongoing phasing out of inventors, those responsible for at least [80% of innovation](#), according to a multitude of [research studies](#). The out phasing is accelerated by the widespread misconception that researchers are mainly innovators. [\[more statistics and data here\]](#)

The world's most prestigious award in the field of invention and science - Nobel's physics prize – unfortunately, through its historical importance, maintains this [misconception](#), not only hindering the inventors' involvement in societal growth, but also industrial development and thus welfare:

In his will, Alfred Nobel did not prioritize 'discovery' before 'invention' as qualifying for the prize; he simply wrote '[discovery or invention](#)'.

The Testament administrator has over time completely discriminated against the inventors community that provides innovation, instead benefitting the researchers.

Discrimination is [documented](#) in minutes from early decisions by the committee, where the committee members agreed that inventors who acquired patents for their invention should not be nominated for the prize. No such condition was ever written in the will.

Nor has there ever been a shortage of qualified inventions to nominate for the prize. I can present [122 inventions from 1901 onwards](#), that in most cases have made "the greatest benefit to humanity", eg. qualified for the prize, in accordance with the will.

Discrimination is also active in the [candidate selection process](#) itself, where only research-related organizations and persons are allowed to nominate. No inventors or innovators organization is included in the selection process, even though "inventors" apparently by Nobel are intended to be one of two prize awarded categories.

[The Swedish Inventors Association](#) from 1886 and [IFIA the International Federation of Inventors' Associations](#) (140 organizations in 100 countries) are two apparently qualified institutions for international nomination responsibility, to propose candidates for a physics award related to invention. None of these have so far been engaged .

The fact that the world's most prestigious science award, contrary to the will, favors one of two prize categories, is a clear discrimination of, in this case, the inventors of the world.

In a broadcasted news segment in [SVT Report 20180930](#), where the low proportion of female prize winners was discussed, the standing secretary answered that the committee was legally bound to follow the letter of the will.

The scientific academy's ongoing discrimination against inventors contradicts this.

Is the discrimination still ongoing?

yes no